

National Museum of Natural History

Background

Patterns in the rate and distribution of occlusal dental wear differ among populations, based on both diet (what is eaten and how the food is processed), and how the teeth are used for non-masticatory functions, such as using the mouth as a tool for processing or holding objects. Differences may also occur between males and females in a group if they have gender-based divisions of labor. This study will assess differences in wear patterns in males and females in a population of individuals from southwest Alaska.



Fig. I. Location of Kaskanak, Kvichak River (Aleš Hrdlička papers, National Anthropological Archives)

The individuals examined in this study (Accession 115748, Catalog numbers P363547-566 and P363566-600) were excavated in 1931 by Ales Hrdlička, NMNH, from the Kaskanak Village area on the Kvichak Bristol Bay, Alaska, just west of Lake Iliamna (Fig. 1). They primarily represent Yup'ik Eskimo of the 19th century, although this region bordered Athabascan and Aleut (Alutiia) territories and admixture with these groups and their cultural traditions was likely. Their primary food source was salmon, but they also relied on other foodstuffs including Beluga whales, birds, caribou, bear, and other large game, and wild plants, including celery and berries, to a lesser extent. Meat was preserved through smoking, drying, and freezing. Labor was usually divided by gender, with men making tools, hunting, participating in warfare and women involved in salmon processing, gathering, and domestic tasks such as clothing and basketry production (Salmon, 2008). Grave markers of the area also suggest gender-specific activities, with those of men displaying paddles and bows, and those of women kettles and ladles (Powell and Dudar, 2017). Work also was age-graded, with children not expected to participate in chores until the age of ten (Salmon, 2008).

Methods

Dental occlusal wear occurs from the action of materials wearing down enamel to expose the underlying dentin. Wear scoring methods currently used by most researchers assign a qualitative ordinal score to each tooth, comparing it to images and a written description (Smith, 1984) (Fig. 2). These methods are subjective, and data may not be comparable among researchers.

precision wear, taking direct measurements from surface of the of the occlusal during the repatriation documentation taken process. (Note that the maxillary teeth were not used due to a high incidence of postmortem loss of the incisors.) Using the free-form selection option in Photoshop (v.22.4.3), the area of dentin exposure of the left teeth (right substituted when necessary) was traced as well as the total occlusal surface area. An XP-Pen tablet and stylus were used to optimize precision (Fig. 3). The number of pixels within was determined, and the dentin area proportion calculated using Behrend's (1977) ratio: the dentin area divided by the total dentin surface, multiplied by 100. All MI measurements were repeated by the first author two weeks after completion of the initial data collection. A paired samples t-test demonstrated no significant differences between the means (t(18))= 1.673, p = .112).

As wear tends to increase with age and this population represents a range of ages, its effect was controlled for by relating the dentin proportion for each tooth to that of the first molar, the first permanent tooth to erupt (Fig 4). This wear ratio divides the dentin proportion for each tooth by that of the first molar. Teeth with a wear ratio close to 1 show the same amount of wear as the first molar, while those with a higher wear ratio wore faster than the first molar, and those with a score less than 1, slower (Clement and Hillson, 2012).



¥ 3 (2-(2-(2) (2-(2) (2) 🗢 🗢 ≝ 4 €-€-€ 0 🗢 👁 g 5 ()-()-() ()-() ● ● ● ⊈ 6 ₿-0-0 ● ● ● Fig. 2. Dental wear scoring method of Smith (1984)



Fig. 3. Tracing of exposed dentin on the maxillary central incisors of a 38-year-old white male (HTH 581) from the Hamann-Todd Collection (Photo by R. Coolidge)



at II years (Ubelaker, 1989)



Acknowledgements

The authors would like to sincerely thank the people of Igiugig Village, Alaska for graciously permitting us to study images of their ancestors. We would also like to extend our gratitude to Eric Hollinger, of the Repatriation Office, NMNH for his assistance in liasing with tribes. Thank you to the National Science Foundation (REU site, OCE-1560088) for funding this research. Finally, we offer special thanks to the NHRE Summer Internship Administrators Gene Hunt, Liz Cottrell, and Virginia Power for all their hard work.

Sex-Related Dental Wear Patterns in an Alaskan Population

Kristen Alipio^{1,2}, Erica Jones¹, Rhonda Coolidge¹

¹Smithsonian Institution, National Museum of Natural History, Department of Anthropology, ²The University of Texas San Antonio, Department of Anthropology



Fig. 6. Percentage of dentin exposure on tooth categories by sex



Fig. 8. Wear ratios by tooth and sex

Mandibular tooth wear by sex with combined age groups plotted on a logarithmic scale. Refer to Figure 7 for sample sizes and median values. "O" represents outliers greater than 1.5 times the interquartile range. "*" represents outliers at greater than 3 times the interguartile range.

The dentition of only 19 adult individuals was available for this study, 9 males and 10 females (Fig. 4). Due to the small sample size, several missing values, and violation of various assumptions, parametric and nonparametric hypothesis testing could not be conducted. Instead, we discuss the trends observed in the plotted data. Results are discussed in terms of median wear values, rather than means, due to the presence of outliers.

The box plots in Figure 6 show the dentin proportion data by sex, showing the entire range for each tooth without control for age. The medians are not markedly dissimilar between the sexes in the anterior teeth; however, females exhibit more wear on the premolars and first molar.

Given that the incisors and first molar erupt at roughly the same age and the remaining teeth later (Fig. 3), we would expect the incisor wear ratios to be close to I, and the others less than I. For females, that expectation is not met for the incisors or canines, but is for the posterior teeth, although all teeth (except the second molar) show slightly higher-than-expected wear. Males, however, have much higher wear ratios for incisors and canines than females (almost double, at 2.3 for the first incisor, 2.0 for the second, and 1.9 for the canine) (Figs. 6 &7). The sequence of eruption suggests the scores for premolars and the second molar should be less than 1, and that expectation has been met.

As variation in tooth wear evens out over time, examining the data by age category provides a more nuanced view of wear differences (Fig. 8). This data reinforces the differences discussed above, showing wear concentrated on the incisors and canines of the younger males, a pattern obscured in the older individuals. Younger females show a pattern of wear more widely spread throughout the dentition, including the premolars.

The University of Texas at San Antonio"

Results

		11	12	С	P1	P2	M2
)	N	9	9	9	9	9	8
	Median Wear Ratio	2.3	2.0	1.9	.8	.6	.2
ale	N	8	10	10	10	9	10
	Median Wear Ratio	1.2	1.2	1.0	.9	.9	.3
	N	17	19	19	19	18	18
	Median Wear Ratio	1.4	1.3	1.2	.9	.8	.3

Fig. 7. Tooth frequencies and median wear ratios by sex

Fig. 9. Wear ratios by tooth, sex, and age category Mandibular wear ratios comparing males to females, plotted by age groups on a logarithmic scale. See in-graph key for sample sizes. For explanation of plot symbols, see Figure 8.

Previous studies have suggested that groups primarily relying on hunting and gathering generally show low rates of posterior and high rates of anterior wear as opposed to agriculturalists, due to less consumption of abrasive plant material (Deter, 2009). As noted, individuals from Kaskanak consumed relatively little vegetation, instead eating substantial amounts of dehydrated meat for much of the year. While it seems logical that this tough material would have led to significant wear on the posterior teeth, Merbs (1983) notes that, in the Arctic, a small amount of dried meat was sliced off, then swallowed without chewing. This supports the pattern seen here of lower wear on the second molars relative to more anterior teeth.

Merbs (1968) notes that males used their anterior teeth on objects of small diameter, concentrating wear on a small number of teeth, while females used their teeth to process larger objects, leading to wear spread more evenly around the mouth. Ethnographic accounts of the Arctic groups suggest that teeth were often used as tools or "third hands". Among men, they were used for activities such as grasping bow drills and bending kayak ribs. Bow drills, for example, were extensively used throughout the region for drilling holes in hard materials and starting fires (Figure 10; Hough, 1929). The mouthpiece of the drill was clenched in the anterior teeth (incisors and canines) with such force that tooth marks can be seen on extant drills in museum collections. The resulting motion and force used during activities such as during drilling likely contributed to increased tooth wear. Women used their teeth for tasks such as hide processing and basketry production, which concentrated on the anterior teeth and premolars. Molnar (1971) also notes that women also consumed more abrasive plant foods while gathering, which would have been processed by posterior teeth.

Our data support the ethnographic evidence of gender-specific labor in the Arctic, echoing the findings of researchers such as Clement and Hillson (2012) among the Igoolik Inuit of Canada, with males exhibiting marked anterior tooth wear and females showing both higher-thanexpected, but relatively uniform, wear throughout the mouth.

Further studies on groups from other areas with different subsistence methods or cultural practices might help to elucidate wear patterns associated with those diets and lifeways. This methodology could help to support or assign cultural affiliation to unknown individuals or groups in repatriation assessments. This study also demonstrates the utility of images taken during the NMNH's repatriation documentation process for assisting in such assessments after remains have been returned.

> Fig. 10. Image of a bow drill from Kvichak River, Alaska (E127819, from the collections of the Department of Anthropology, NMNH). Note the mouthpiece of the right, which would have been clenched between the teeth.

References

- Am | Phys Anthropol 149:517-524.
- Deter, CA (2009). Gradients of Occlusal Wear in Hunter-Gatherers and Agriculturalists. Am J Phys Anthropol 138:247-254.
- 73(14). • Merbs CF (1968). Anterior Tooth Loss in Arctic Populations. Southwest J Anthrop 24(1):20-32.
- Archaeological Survey of Canada 119.
- Molnar, S (1971). Sex, Age, and Tooth Position as Factors in the Production of Tooth Wear. Am Antiquity 36(2):182-188.

Discussion



Behrend, GD (1977). Quantitative Evaluation of Dental Attrition. Am J Phys Anthropol 47:117.

Clement, AF and SW Hillson (2012). Intrapopulation Variation in Macro Tooth Wear Patterns—A Case Study from Igoolik, Canada.

Hough, W. (1929). Fire-Making Apparatus in the United States National Museum. Proceedings of the United States National Museum

• Merbs CF (1983). Patterns of Activity-Induced Pathology in a Canadian Inuit Population. National Museum of Man Mercury Series,

• Powell, MS and JC Dudar (2017). Inventory and Assessment of Human Remains and a Funerary Object from Kaskanak Village and the

Upper Kvichak River Region near Lake Iliamna, Alaska, in the Collections of the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution. Repatriation Office Report, Natural Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution. Salmon A (2008). "Igyararmiunguunga": Qallemciq Nunaka Man'i Kuicaraami-Ilu. "I Belong to Igiugig": The Story of my Home on the Kvickak River. Unpublished B.A. Thesis, Dartmouth College, Department of Anthropology.

• Smith, BH (1984). Patterns of Molar Wear in Hunter-Gatherers and Agriculturalists. Am J Phys Anthropol 63:39-56.

• Ubelaker, DH (1998). Human Skeletal Remains. Excavation, Analysis, Interpretation. Washington: Taraxacum.