

What's All the Talc About? Air Entrainment in Dilute Pyroclastic Density Currents Brianna Marshall^{1,2}, Benjamin Andrews², Kristen Fauria³

Motivation

Pyroclastic Density Currents (PDCs) are hot clouds of rock and gas that are denser than air. They pose hazards to life, health and property. The shape of a PDC, the distance it travels, and whether it lifts off are controlled by entrainment, the rate at which air mixes into the current. Understanding how entrainment controls PDC behavior can help us anticipate and mitigate this hazard.





Collecting temperature data (Fig. 5)

- 30 channels of thermocouples
- Area: 2x4 meters
- Records temperature data at 3 hz Height off floor: 30 cm and 5 cm

¹Department of Geology, Geography and Environmental Studies, Calvin College, Grand Rapids, MI ²Department of Mineral Sciences, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC³Earth and Planetary Sciences Department, University of California, Berkeley

Results

Entrainment (ϵ) is the rate at which air is mixed into the current over the velocity at which the current is moving. Entrainment in PDCs cause buoyancy reversal and liftoff, forming ash plumes.

Parameter Space Explored



Mean temperature differences within the currents range from 0.1 to 7.6°C. TE_{h}/KE ranges from 0.03 to 6.4.



Representative Experiments





- Cooler currents do not lift off. • Spread along tank floor
- Talc particles have ambient temperatures
- As current is fed upstream:
 - Entrains air through current head and body
 - Develops wide current front
 - Dissipates horizontally





 Hotter currents liftoff, forming plumes • Travel downstream in a confined space. • Talc particles hotter than ambient air • As current is fed upstream:

• Entrains air through head and body Air entrainment leads to thermal expansion • Expands and lifts off vertically as a plume

Discussion

Initial Mass (g) 🛆 ~ 1000 🗌 ~ 1500 🗁 ~ 2300 4 6 8 10 Mass Eruption Rate (g/s) Mass Eruption Rate (g/s) Body Head **Short Duration - Head Dominates Current** Body **Long Duration - Body Dominates Current** Fig. 15



Experimental volcanology enable scientists to safely study the behavior of dangerous and unpredictable volcanic events (Fig. 16).

Acknowledgments and References

Thanks to: Virginia Powers, Gene Hunt and Liz Cottrell for all the effort and time they put into the NHRE program. Thanks to Kristen Fauria as well for her wonderful input and help. Lastly, much thanks goes to my mentor Ben Andrews for his invaluable guidance and for making this research experience so fun and educational. This program was graciously hosted by the Smithsonian Institute and funded by the National Science Foundation.

Andrews, B.J., Manga, M., 2012. Experimental study of turbulence, sedimentation, and coignimbrite mass partitioning in dilute pyroclastic density currents. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 225-226: 30-44. Wells, M., Cenedese, C., Caulfield, C.P., 2010. The relationship between flux coefficient and entrainment ratio in density currents. J. Phys. Ocean., 40: 2713-2727.



- Bulk entrainment rates range from < 0.1 to > 0.7 prior to current liftoff
- Bulk entrainment does not show simple systematic variation with Ri or TE_{h}/KE
- Bulk entrainment increases with Mass **Eruption Rate**
- Eruption duration appears to control bulk entrainment: short eruptions have higher entrainment than longer eruptions



- Different parts of PDCs have different entrainment rates
- Current heads entrain air very efficiently: > 0.5
 - **Current bodies entrain air** less efficiently but at rates comparable to previous predictions: ~ 0.1

Photo courtesy of Smithsonian Institute, (



